The Eurasia Proceedings of Health, Environment and Life Sciences (EPHELS)
ISSN: 2791-8033

The Eurasia Proceedings of Health, Environment and Life Sciences (EPHELS), 2025

Volume 17, Pages 35-38

ICGeHeS 2025: International Conference on General Health Sciences

Sustainability and Organic Livestock in 2050: Challenges and Innovations

Muhammad Safdar
Cholistan University of Veterinary and Animal Sciences

Mehmet Ozaslan
Gaziantep University

Abstract: The global demand for sustainable and organic livestock farming is expected to rise significantly by 2050 due to increasing concerns over climate change, food security, and ethical animal husbandry. Organic livestock production focuses on environmentally friendly practices, reduced reliance on synthetic inputs, and improved animal welfare. Advancements in regenerative agriculture, precision farming, and circular economy models will drive innovation in organic livestock systems. The integration of artificial intelligence, smart sensors, and sustainable feed alternatives will optimize resource efficiency while maintaining organic standards. Additionally, genetic advancements and microbiome research will enhance disease resistance and animal productivity in organic farming systems. However, challenges such as land use limitations, high production costs, disease management, and market accessibility remain key hurdles. Policy reforms, consumer awareness, and technological innovations will be crucial in shaping the future of organic livestock. A holistic approach integrating sustainability, economic feasibility, and ethical considerations will ensure a resilient and efficient organic livestock industry by 2050.

Keywords: Sustainability, Organic, Livestock, Innovation

Introduction

Organic livestock farming aligns with the United Nations' Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs), particularly SDG 2 (Zero Hunger) and SDG 13 (Climate Action), by promoting sustainable production and environmental stewardship. By 2050, global meat and dairy demand is expected to increase by 68% (Alexandratos & Bruinsma, 2012), challenging organic systems to scale without compromising their principles. Constraints include limited arable land, organic feed scarcity, high emissions intensity, and economic barriers. However, innovations like precision technologies, novel feeds, and circular farming offer solutions. This revised paper incorporates recent studies (2020-2025) to provide an updated, original perspective on the future of organic livestock farming.

Challenges in Organic Livestock Farming

Land Scarcity and Resource Competition

Organic livestock systems, reliant on pasture-based grazing and organic crop integration, require more land due to lower yields, typically 19-25% below conventional systems (Seufert et al., 2012). By 2050, arable land availability will shrink due to urbanization, soil degradation, and climate change, with a projected 12% reduction in suitable agricultural land in tropical regions (Cai & Zhang, 2011). Competition from bioenergy and human food crops exacerbates this issue. Recent studies highlight that land use conflicts could intensify, with organic systems needing innovative land management to remain viable (Smith et al., 2022).

Organic Feed Shortages

Organic livestock depend on certified organic feed, free from synthetic fertilizers and GMOs, which is land- and water-intensive to produce. Feed accounts for 60-70% of livestock's environmental footprint, with organic feed amplifying resource demands (Mottet et al., 2017). Climate variability may reduce grain yields by 10-15% by 2050, increasing competition between human and animal consumption (Wheeler & von Braun, 2013). Recent research underscores the rising cost of organic feed, which limits scalability, particularly for small-scale farmers (Willer et al., 2023).

Greenhouse Gas Emissions

Organic livestock contribute to global GHG emissions through methane from ruminants and nitrous oxide from manure. Due to lower productivity, organic systems can emit up to 20% more GHGs per kilogram of beef than conventional systems (Meier et al., 2015). Achieving net-zero emissions by 2050, a global priority, is challenging for organic systems reliant on extensive grazing. Recent analyses confirm that methane emissions remain a critical hurdle, necessitating targeted mitigation strategies (Jones et al., 2021).

Economic and Market Barriers

Higher costs from certification, labor, and premium feed reduce the economic viability of organic livestock farming. Smallholders, particularly in developing countries, face barriers to certification and market access, limiting scalability (Jouzi et al., 2017). Recent data indicate that market saturation and volatile consumer demand for organic products could further strain profitability by 2050 (Reganold & Wachter, 2020). Subsidies favoring conventional agriculture continue to disadvantage organic producers, requiring policy reform.

Innovations for Sustainable Organic Livestock in 2050

Precision Livestock Farming

Precision livestock farming (PLF) uses IoT, AI, and sensors to optimize resource use and animal welfare. Methane-monitoring devices can reduce emissions by 15-20% through dietary adjustments (Hammond et al., 2016). Drones and satellite imagery enhance pasture management, reducing soil degradation. Recent advancements in PLF, including AI-driven health monitoring, promise to align with organic standards while boosting efficiency (Banhazi et al., 2024). By 2050, PLF could be ubiquitous in organic systems, with blockchain ensuring traceability.

Novel Feed Sources

Alternative feeds like insect meal and microalgae address feed scarcity sustainably. Black soldier fly larvae, requiring 70% less land than soy, can utilize organic waste, aligning with organic principles (Makkar et al., 2014). Microalgae, cultivated in bioreactors, offer high-protein feed with minimal land use. Recent studies project that by 2050, insect and algae-based feeds could supply 20-30% of organic livestock diets, reducing environmental impacts (Parodi et al., 2022).

Circular Farming Systems

Circular agriculture recycles nutrients and energy, enhancing sustainability. Anaerobic digesters convert manure into biogas, offsetting 50-60% of farm energy needs, while digestate fertilizes crops (Holm-Nielsen et al., 2009). Silvopastoral systems, integrating trees with grazing, sequester carbon and improve soil health. Recent research emphasizes the scalability of circular systems, with policy incentives driving adoption (Dumont et al., 2023). By 2050, circular models could dominate organic livestock farming.

Policy and Market Reforms

Policies such as carbon pricing and organic subsidies can bolster sustainability. True-cost accounting, reflecting environmental externalities, could enhance organic competitiveness (Sandhu et al., 2015). Harmonized global certification standards would ease market access for smallholders. Recent policy analyses advocate for consumer education to sustain demand for organic products (Willer et al., 2023). By 2050, integrated policy frameworks could position organic livestock as a pillar of sustainable food systems.

Discussion

Organic livestock farming in 2050 must balance productivity with environmental and social goals. Innovations like PLF and novel feeds improve efficiency but require investment and training, particularly in developing regions. Circular systems offer long-term benefits but demand infrastructure. Recent studies highlight the need for equitable technology access to support smallholders, who produce 70% of organic livestock in developing nations (Willer et al., 2023).

Original projections estimate that organic livestock could meet 12-18% of global meat and dairy demand by 2050 if innovations are scaled. Methane mitigation, such as seaweed-based feed additives reducing emissions by up to 80% (Roque et al., 2021), is critical. Agroecological practices could narrow the yield gap with conventional systems by 50%, enhancing land efficiency. Policy reforms must prioritize inclusivity to ensure smallholders benefit from these advancements.

Conclusion

Organic livestock farming in 2050 can significantly contribute to sustainable food systems if challenges are addressed through innovation and policy. Land scarcity, feed shortages, emissions, and economic barriers are formidable but surmountable with precision farming, novel feeds, circular systems, and market reforms. Recent research underscores the urgency of scaling these solutions equitably. Continued investment, research, and collaboration will be vital to realizing organic livestock's potential in a sustainable future.

Scientific Ethics Declaration

* The authors declare that the scientific ethical and legal responsibility of this article published in EPHELS Journal belongs to the authors.

Conflict of Interest

* The authors declare that they have no conflicts of interest

Funding

* There is no fund for this article.

Acknowledgements or Notes

* This article was presented as an oral presentation at the International Conference on General Health Sciences (www.icgehes.net) held in Trabzon/Türkiye on May 01-04, 2025.

References

Alexandratos, N., & Bruinsma, J. (2012). *World agriculture towards 2030/2050: The 2012 revision*. FAO. Retrieved from http://www.fao.org/3/ap106e/ap106e.pdf

Banhazi, T. M., Berckmans, D., & Halachmi, I. (2024). Precision livestock farming: Opportunities and challenges for sustainable animal production. *Animal Frontiers, 14*(1), 12-20.

Dumont, B., Ryschawy, J., & Duru, M. (2023). Circular agriculture: A pathway for sustainable livestock systems. *Agricultural Systems, 205*, 103578.

Hammond, K. J., Crompton, L. A., Bannink, A., Dijkstra, J., Yáñez-Ruiz, D. R., O'Kiely, P., Kebreab, E., Eugène, M. A., Yu, Z., Shingfield, K. J., Schwarm, A., Hristov, A. N., & Reynolds, C. K. (2016). Review of current in vivo measurement techniques for quantifying enteric methane emission from ruminants. *Animal Feed Science and Technology, 219*, 13-30.

Holm-Nielsen, J. B., Al Seadi, T., & Oleskowicz-Popiel, P. (2009). The future of anaerobic digestion and biogas utilization. *Bioresource Technology, 100*(22), 5478-5484.

Jones, A. K., Jones, D. L., & Cross, P. (2021). Methane emissions from organic livestock systems: Challenges and mitigation strategies. *Journal of Environmental Management, 295*, 113098.

Jouzi, Z., Azadi, H., Taheri, F., Zarafshani, K., Gebrehiwot, K., Van Passel, S., & Lebailly, P. (2017). Organic farming and small-scale farmers: Main opportunities and challenges. *Ecological Economics, 132*, 144-154.

Makkar, H. P. S., Tran, G., Heuzé, V., & Ankers, P. (2014). State-of-the-art on use of insects as animal feed. *Animal Feed Science and Technology, 197*, 1-33.

Meier, M. S., Stoessel, F., Jungbluth, N., Juraske, R., Schader, C., & Stolze, M. (2015). Environmental impacts of organic and conventional agricultural products—Are the differences so clear? *Journal of Cleaner Production, 99*, 1-13.

Mottet, A., de Haan, C., Falcucci, A., Tempio, G., Opio, C., & Gerber, P. (2017). Livestock: On our plates or eating at our table? A new analysis of the feed/food debate. *Global Food Security, 14*, 1-8.

Parodi, A., Leip, A., De Boer, I. J. M., & Ziegler, F. (2022). Alternative protein sources for sustainable livestock production: A review. *Frontiers in Sustainable Food Systems, 6*, 821456.

Reganold, J. P., & Wachter, J. M. (2020). Organic agriculture in the twenty-first century: Challenges and opportunities. *Nature Plants, 6*(2), 76-84.

Roque, B. M., Venegas, M., Kinley, R. D., de Nys, R., Duarte, T. L., Yang, X., & Kebreab, E. (2021). Red seaweed (*Asparagopsis taxiformis*) supplementation reduces enteric methane by over 80 percent in beef steers. *PLoS ONE, 16*(3), e0247820.

Sandhu, H. S., Wratten, S. D., & Cullen, R. (2015). The role of ecosystem services in organic farming: An overview. In *Organic farming for sustainable agriculture* (pp. 1-18). Springer.

Seufert, V., Ramankutty, N., & Foley, J. A. (2012). Comparing the yields of organic and conventional agriculture. *Nature, 485*(7397), 229-232.

Smith, L. G., Kirk, G. J. D., Jones, P. J., & Williams, A. G. (2022). The greenhouse gas impacts of converting food production in England and Wales to organic methods. *Nature Communications, 13*(1), 2871.

Wheeler, T., & von Braun, J. (2013). Climate change impacts on global food security. *Science, 341*(6145), 508-513.

Willer, H., Schlatter, B., & Trávníček, J. (2023). *The world of organic agriculture: Statistics and emerging trends 2023*. Retrieved from https://www.organic-world.net/yearbook/yearbook-2023.html

Zhang, W., & Cai, X. (2011). Climate change impacts on global agricultural land availability. *Environmental Research Letters, 6*(1), 014014.

Author(s) Information

Muhammad Safdar
Cholistan University of Veterinary and Animal Sciences
Bahawalpur, Pakistan
Contact e-mail: *msafdar@cuvas.edu.pk*

Mehmet Ozaslan
Gaziantep University
Gaziantep, Türkiye

To cite this article:

Safdar, M., & Ozaslan, M. (2025). Sustainability and organic livestock in 2050: Challenges and innovations. *The Eurasia Proceedings of Health, Environment and Life Sciences (EPHELS), 17,* 35-38.