

The Eurasia Proceedings of Health, Environment and Life Sciences (EPHELs), 2021

Volume 1, Pages 57-60

ICGeHeS 2021: International Conference on General Health Sciences

Factors Influencing Nursing Students' Decision to Choose the Nursing Education Program in Bulgaria

Rumyana STOYANOVA
Medical University of Plovdiv

Abstract: The aim of this study was to establish the factors influencing nursing students' decision to choose the nursing education program in Bulgaria. Methodology: An anonymous survey was carried out among 160 nursing students in March of 2016, using self-administered questionnaire. The questionnaire was prepared in order to achieve the aim of the study and asked for information about the demographic characteristics of the respondents, and 10 questions that asked students to evaluate on the scale of 1 to 5 (from "Completely agree" to "Completely disagree") their choice and satisfaction with the decision to study Nursing. These questions were arrived at in brainstorming sessions with groups of 7 - 9 students and secondary information from numerous studies. Results were analyzed by descriptive statistics of the data, non-parametric and factor analysis, using the SPSS 17.0. Results: The results of the analysis showed that student motivation to enroll in this particular university program depends on four types of factors, which account for 59,30% of the studied variable. The motivating factors are interconnected, and have been grouped in the following way: F1 – Social and economic determinants, F2 – Quality of education, F3 – Market situation in the country, F4 – Opportunity to work abroad. The Kaiser-Meyer-Olkin (KMO) test was 0.601 (i.e. >0.5) and the Bartlett's test of sphericity was Sig.=0.00, which demonstrates the suitability of the factor analysis. Conclusion: The findings of this study provided valuable information regarding motivating factors which attract the current generation to join the nursing education program.

Keywords: Nursing, Students' decision, Education.

Introduction

Worldwide the process of choosing a nursing program can seem easy. According to the World community of nurses, few careers offer the same advantages as nursing: registered nurses earn high salaries, work with interesting people, and — perhaps most importantly — significantly affect people's lives, often even saving their lives (25 Reasons to Choose a Career in Nursing).

But the situation in Bulgaria is not so good. They work in unfavorable conditions and receive low salaries, being exposed to higher mental, physiological and cognitive requirements. The labor of nurses has long been pointed at as among the most stressful in Bulgaria and among the few jobs where employees have to cope with different situations under stress. High levels of stress are connected with the basic characteristics of their activities: extremely dangerous, fast and intensive (Stoyanova, 2018). These employees have to approach their professional duties with the required attention and responsibility because there is a direct threat or clear and present life threat. They often work in teams, which require good collaboration between team members. Given the continuous 24-h work process, they work in shifts (Bakker et. al 2006; Schaufeli et al, 1998).

The aim of this study was to establish the factors influencing nursing students' decision to choose the nursing education program in Bulgaria.

- This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-Noncommercial 4.0 Unported License, permitting all non-commercial use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

- Selection and peer-review under responsibility of the Organizing Committee of the Conference

© 2021 Published by ISRES Publishing: www.isres.org

Methods

An anonymous survey was carried out among 160 nursing students, using self-administered questionnaire. The questionnaire was prepared in order to achieve the aim of the study and asked for information about the demographic characteristics of the respondents, and 10 questions that asked students to evaluate on the scale of 1 to 5 (from “Completely agree” to “Completely disagree”) their choice and satisfaction with the decision to study Nursing. These questions were arrived at in brainstorming sessions with groups of 7 - 9 students and secondary information from numerous studies (Neill, 2009; Denny, 2014; Padlee et al., 2010).

The study was conducted between 01-30 March 2016. Results were analyzed by descriptive statistics of the data (mean, standard deviation, number and percentage), and presented in the form of tables, using the SPSS 17.0 program. Other statistical analysis method that was used is factor analysis.

Results and Discussion

The mean age of the respondents was 23.58 ±6,459. The decision to choose the nursing education program typically depends on various factors, prominent among them being the ones that have to do with a student’s intrinsic motivation to pursue the major in question. In our initial sessions with groups (of 7 to 9 students each), we relied on brainstorming to deduce the main motivating factors. Table 1 shows the distribution of the answers of all participants in the study. They had to evaluate the influence of each of the given factors.

Table 1. Students’ motivating factors

Motivating factors	Completely disagree	Disagree	Neither agree or disagree	Agree	Completely agree
	n, (%)	n, (%)	n, (%)	n, (%)	n, (%)
Q1 There is a deficit of nurses which will guarantee me employment in the future.	7 (4.4)	7 (4.4)	21 (13.1)	58 (36.3)	67 (41.9)
Q2 My diploma is recognized in the EU.	11 (6.9)	10 (6.3)	22 (13.8)	52 (32.5)	65 (40.6)
Q3 The profession is a very prestigious one.	9 (5.6)	13 (8,1)	53 (33,1)	42 (26,3)	43 (26,9)
Q4 This is my childhood dream.	34 (21,3)	17 (10,6)	47 (29,4)	39 (24,4)	23 (14,4)
Q5 There is a low competition among the applicants.	39 (24,4)	34 (21,3)	47 (29,4)	29 (18,1)	11 (6,9)
Q6 The university is situated close to my parents’ home.	62 (38.8)	24 (16.9)	40 (25.0)	16 (10.0)	15 (9.4)
Q7 My parents influenced my decision.	87 (54.4)	33 (20.6)	22 (13.8)	13 (8.1)	5 (3.1)
Q8 The tuition per semester is relatively low.	54 (33.8)	48 (30.0)	50 (31.3)	3 (1.9)	5 (3.1)
Q9 The quality of the theoretical studies at this university is very high.	11 (6.9)	14 (8.8)	52 (32.5)	68 (42.5)	15 (9.4)
Q10 The quality of the practical studies at this university is very high.	8 (5.0)	33 (20.6)	37 (23.1)	64 (40.0)	18 (11.3)

In order to highlight the main motivating factors and reduce their number, we performed a factor analysis following the Principle Component Method. After applying the factor analysis, we removed the components weighing less than 0.5 and $p \geq 0.05$. This component was “Q4: This is my childhood dream”.

The rest of the items underwent a Varimax rotation in order to increase their factor weight at the expense of the removed items. In this way the observed variable could be better assessed using a minimum number of leading factors. The results of our analysis showed that student motivation to enroll in this particular university program depends on four types of factors, which account for 59,30% of the studies variable. The motivating factors are interconnected, and have been grouped in the following way: F1 – Social and economic determinants, F2 – Quality of education, F3 – Market situation in the country, F4 – Opportunity to work abroad (see Table 2). Having a factor weight of 0.408, Q4 was left out of the analysis. The Kaiser-Meyer-Olkin measure of sampling

adequacy is 0.601 (i.e. > 0.5) and Bartlett's Test of sphericity is Sig. = 0.00, which proves that the use of factor analysis is acceptable.

Table 2. Types of motivating factors

Motivating factors	F1 Social and economic determinants	F2 Quality of education	F3 Market situation in the country	F4 Opportunity to work abroad
Q6 The university is situated close to my parents' home.	0.742			
Q3 The profession is a very prestigious one.	0.653			
Q8 The tuition per semester is relatively low.	0.647			
Q9 The quality of the theoretical studies at this university is very high.		0.872		
Q10 The quality of the practical studies at this university is very high.		0.700		
Q5 There is a low competition among the applicants.			0.762	
Q7 My parents influenced my decision.			0.624	
Q1 There is a deficit of nurses which will guarantee me employment in the future.			0.593	
Q2 My diploma is recognized in the EU.				0.878
% of Variance after Rotation: Varimax with Kaiser Normalization	16.57%	16.12%	14.95%	11.66%

Conclusion

Based on the survey data, it appears that four primary areas have importance for those who choose to study the nursing profession in Bulgaria: (1) Social and economic factors as the prestige of the profession, distance to the University and relatively low tuition fee; (2) quality of education; (3) future employment-related aspects of job security and a low competition among the applicants; and (4) the travel opportunities available to those with a nursing qualification. This suggests that for those who are highly motivated by such factors, this profession can provide returns in line with their expectations. The findings of this study provided valuable information regarding motivating factors which attract the current generation to join the nursing education program.

Scientific Ethics Declaration

The author declares that the scientific ethical and legal responsibility of this article published in EPHELS journal belongs to the author.

References

- Bakker, A.B., & Heuven, E. (2006). Emotional dissonance, burnout, and in-role performance among nurses and police officers. *International Journal of Stress Management*, 13(4), 423.
- Denny, K. (2014). The effect of abolishing university tuition costs: Evidence from Ireland. *Labour Economics*, 26, 26-33.
- Neill, C. (2009). Tuition fees and the demand for university places. *Economics of Education Review*, 28(5), 561-570.
- Padlee, S.F., Kamaruddin, A.R., & Baharun, R. (2010). International students' choice behavior for higher education at Malaysian private universities. *International Journal of Marketing Studies*, 2(2), 202-211.
- Schaufeli, W.B., & Enzmann, D. (1998). *The Burnout Companion to Study and Research: a Critical Analysis*. London: Taylor & Francis.
- Stoyanova, R. (2018, August). Relationship Between Working Environment Factors, Burnout Syndrome and Turnover Intentions Among Nurses—A Cross-Sectional Study in Bulgaria. In *Congress of the International Ergonomics Association* (pp. 35-43). Springer, Cham.
- Morris, G. (2021, October 20) *World community of nurses. 16 Reasons to Choose a Career in Nursing*. <https://nursejournal.org/articles/reasons-to-choose-a-career-in-nursing/>

Author Information

Rumyana STOYANOVA

Medical University of Plovdiv, Faculty of Public Health
Department of Health Management and Health Economics
15A Vasil Aprilov Blvd., 4002 Plovdiv, Bulgaria
Contact e-mail: rumi_stoynova@abv.bg

To cite this article:

Stoyanova, R. (2021). Factors influencing nursing students' decision to choose the nursing education program in Bulgaria. *The Eurasia Proceedings of Health, Environment and Life Sciences (EPHELS)*, 1, 57-60.